Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
1. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who receive the early intervention services on their IFSPs in a timely manner.  [Compliance Indicator]	The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 98.64%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2006 data of 98%.  The State did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 100%.  The State reported that the one finding of noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 related to this indicator was corrected in a timely manner.	The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 with the timely service provision requirements in 34 CFR \$\\$303.340(c), 303.342(e) and 303.344(f)(1) was corrected in a timely manner.  OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010, the State's data demonstrating that it is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR \$\\$303.340(c), 303.342(e) and 303.344(f)(1), including correction of the noncompliance the State reported under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR.  The State must report, in its FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that it has verified, with regard to the noncompliance reported by the State under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR, that the Guam Public School System (GPSS): (1) is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements; and (2) has initiated services for each child, although late, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memorandum 09-02, dated October 17, 2008 (OSEP Memo 09-02).  If the State is unable to demonstrate compliance in the FFY 2008 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary to ensure compliance.
2. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who primarily receive early intervention services in the home or programs for typically	The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data remain unchanged from the FFY 2006 data of 100%.	OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance, and assumes that the State is monitoring to ensure that IFSP teams are making service setting decisions on an

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps			
developing children. [Results Indicator]		individualized basis and in compliance with 34 CFR §§303.12, 303.18, and 303.344(d)(1)(ii).			
3. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who demonstrate improved:	The State's FFY 2007 reported progress data "for infants and toddlers who are eligible because they have a developmental delay or an established condition" for this indicator are:				The State reported the required progress data and improvement activities. The State must provide baseline data, targets and
A. Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships); B. Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/ communication); and	07-08 Infant and Toddler Outcome Progress Data	Social Emotional	Knowledge & Skills	Appropriate Behavior	improvement activities with the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.  The State reported outcome data for at risk children separately from those children eligible due to diagnosed conditions and developmental delays.
C. Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs.	a. % of infants & toddlers who did not improve functioning.	2	2	2	developmental delays.
[Results Indicator]	b. % of infants & toddlers who improved but not sufficient to move nearer to functioning comparable to same-aged peers.	12	11	11	
	c. % of infants & toddlers who improved to a level nearer to same-aged peers but did not reach it.	9	24	11	
	d. % of infants & toddlers who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same-aged peers.	29	39	39	
	e. % of infants & toddlers who maintained functioning at a level comparable to sameaged peers.	48	24	38	
	Total (approx. 100%)	100.00	100.00	100.00 %	
	The State's FFY 2007 reported progress data eligible because they are at risk" for this indi-		ts and toddl	ers who are	

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues					OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
	07-08 Infant and Toddler Outcome Progress Data	Social	Knowledge	& Skills	Appropriate Behavior	
	a. % of infants & toddlers who did not improve functioning.	0		0	0	
	b. % of infants & toddlers who improved but not sufficient to move nearer to functioning comparable to same-aged peers.	0		0	0	
	c. % of infants & toddlers who improved to a level nearer to same-aged peers but did not reach it.	0		0	0	
	d. % of infants & toddlers who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same-aged peers.	0		50	0	
	e. % of infants & toddlers who maintained functioning at a level comparable to sameaged peers.	100		50	100	
	Total (approx. 100%)	100.0		0.00	100.00	
4. Percent of families participating in Part C who report that early	The State's reported data for this indicator a	re:				OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.
intervention services have helped the family:			FFY 2007	FFY 2007	Progress	
A. Know their rights; B. Effectively communicate their			Oata	Targe		
children's needs; and C. Help their children develop and	A. Know their rights. (%)	96.8	95	91	-1.80%	

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/S	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps				
learn. [Results Indicator]	B. Effectively communicate their children's needs. (%)	94.7	90	84	-4.70%	
	C. Help their children develop and learn. (%)	92.6	98	79	5.40%	
	These data represent progress for 4C and FFY 2006 data. The State met its FFY 2007 targets.	l slippage	for 4A and	d 4B fror	n the	
<ul><li>5. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 1 with IFSPs compared to:</li><li>A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and</li><li>B. National data.</li><li>[Results Indicator]</li></ul>	The State's FFY 2007 reported data for trepresent slippage from the FFY 2006 data. The State did not meet its FFY 2007 targ	ata of 1.33	%.	22%. The	ese data	OSEP looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.
6. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 3 with IFSPs compared to:  A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and  B. National data.  [Results Indicator]	The State's FFY 2007 reported data for trepresent slippage from the FFY 2006 data. The State did not meet its FFY 2007 targ	ata of 1.52	%.	16%. The	ese data	OSEP looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.
7. Percent of eligible infants and toddlers with IFSPs for whom an evaluation and assessment and an initial IFSP meeting were conducted within Part C's 45-day timeline.  [Compliance Indicator]	The State's FFY 2007 reported data for trepresent progress from the FFY 2006 data. The State did not meet its FFY 2007 targ. The State reported that the one finding of 2006 related to this indicator was correct.	ata of 92% get of 100% f noncomp	6. oliance ide	entified in		The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 with the 45-day timeline requirements in 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1), and 303.342(a) was corrected in a timely manner.  OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010, the State's data demonstrating that it is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2),

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
		303.322(e)(1), and 303.342(a), including correction of the noncompliance the State reported under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR.
		The State must report, in its FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that it has verified, with regard to the noncompliance reported by the State under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR, that GPSS: (1) is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements; and (2) has conducted the initial evaluation, assessment, and IFSP meeting, although late, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02.
		If the State is unable to demonstrate compliance in the FFY 2008 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary to ensure compliance.
8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:  A. IFSPs with transition steps and services;	The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data remain unchanged from the FFY 2006 data of 100%.  The State met its FFY 2007 target of 100%.	OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with the IFSP transition content requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h).
[Compliance Indicator]		
8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and	The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data remain unchanged from the FFY 2006 data of 100%.  The State met its FFY 2007 target of 100%.	OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with the LEA notification requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(1).

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:		
B. Notification to LEA, if child potentially eligible for Part B; and		
[Compliance Indicator]		
8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including: C. Transition conference, if child potentially eligible for Part B. [Compliance Indicator]	The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 94%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2006 data of 82%.  The State did not meet its FFY 2007 target of 100%.  OSEP's June 6, 2008 FFY 2006 SPP/APR response table required the State to include in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 2, 2009 data demonstrating that the uncorrected FFY 2005 noncompliance was corrected. The State reported that it corrected the one finding of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 in December 2007.  The State was identified as being in need of assistance for two consecutive years based on the State's FFYs 2005 and 2006 APRs, was advised of available technical assistance, and was required to report, with the FFY 2007 APR, on: (1) the technical assistance sources from which the State received assistance; and (2) the actions the State took as a result of that technical assistance. The State reported on the technical assistance sources from which the State received assistance for this indicator and reported on the actions the State took as a result of that technical assistance.	The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 with the timely transition conference requirements in 34 CFR \$303.148(b)(2)(i) (as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9)(A)(ii)(II)) was corrected.  The State must demonstrate, in the FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that it is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR \$303.148(b)(2)(i) (as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9)(A)(ii)(II)), including correction of the noncompliance the State reported under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR.  The State must report, in its FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, that it has verified, with regard to the noncompliance reported by the State under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR, that GPSS: (1) is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements; and (2) has conducted a transition conference for each child potentially eligible for Part B, although late, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02.  If the State is unable to demonstrate compliance in the FFY 2008 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary to ensure

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
		compliance.
9. General supervision system (including monitoring, complaints, hearings, etc.) identifies and corrects noncompliance as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from identification [Compliance Indicator]	The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2006 data of 86%.  The State met its FFY 2007 target of 100%.  Guam also reported that the remaining noncompliance for Indicator 8C from FFY 2005 was corrected.  The State was identified as being in need of assistance for two consecutive years based on the State's FFYs 2005 and 2006 APRs, was advised of available technical assistance, and was required to report, with the FFY 2007 APR, on: (1) the technical assistance sources from which the State received assistance; and (2) the actions the State took as a result of that technical assistance. The State reported on the technical assistance sources from which the State received assistance for this indicator and reported on the actions the State took as a result of that technical assistance.	OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in timely correcting noncompliance reported by the State under this indicator in the FFY 2007 APR in accordance with IDEA section 635(a)(10)(A) and 34 CFR §303.501.  In reporting on correction of noncompliance, the State must report that it has: (1) corrected all instances of noncompliance (including noncompliance identified through the State's monitoring system, through the State's data system and by the Department); and (2) verified that, with regard to the identified noncompliance, GPSS is correctly implementing the specific regulatory requirements, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02.  In addition, in responding to Indicators 1, 7, and 8C in the FFY 2008 APR due February 1, 2010, the State must report on correction of the noncompliance described in this table under those indicators.  In reporting on Indicator 9 in the FFY 2008 APR, the State must use the Indicator 9 Worksheet.  As stated in OSEP's April 1, 2009 letter regarding the verification visit to Guam, with its FFY 2008 APR, due February 2, 2010, GPSS must continue to provide under Indicator 9 data on timely correction during FFY 2008 of all findings made during FFY 2008 findings issued through the internal file review process and dispute resolution processes. With its FFY 2009 APR, due

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
		February 2, 2011, GPSS must then provide, under Indicator 9, data on the timely correction during FFY 2009 of all findings made during FFY 2008, including, as appropriate, data on the correction of any findings issued through the internal file review process and dispute resolution.
10. Percent of signed written complaints with reports issued that were resolved within 60-day timeline or a timeline extended for exceptional circumstances with respect to a particular complaint.  [Compliance Indicator]	The State reported that it did not receive any signed written complaints during the FFY 2007 reporting period.	OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.
11. Percent of fully adjudicated due process hearing requests that were fully adjudicated within the applicable timeline.  [Compliance Indicator]	The State reported that it did not receive any due process hearing requests during the FFY 2007 reporting period.	OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.
12. Percent of hearing requests that went to resolution sessions that were resolved through resolution session settlement agreements (applicable if Part B due process procedures are adopted).  [Results Indicator]	The State reported that no resolution sessions were held during the FFY 2007 reporting period.  The State reported fewer than ten resolution sessions held in FFY 2007. The State is not required to provide targets or improvement activities until any FFY in which ten or more resolution sessions were held.	OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.
13. Percent of mediations held that resulted in mediation agreements.  [Results Indicator]	The State reported that no mediations were held during the FFY 2007 reporting period.  The State reported fewer than ten mediations held in FFY 2007. The State is not required to provide targets or improvement activities until any FFY in which ten or more mediations were held.	OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
14. State reported data (618 and State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Report) are timely and accurate.	The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data remain unchanged from the FFY 2006 data of 100%.  The State met its FFY 2007 target of 100%.	OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with the data reporting requirements in IDEA sections 616, 618, and 642 and 34 CFR §§76.720 and 303.540.
[Compliance Indicator]		In reporting on Indicator 14 in the FFY 2008 APR, the State must use the Indicator 14 Data Rubric.